# Summary Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Topic: | Communications Hubs & Networks Consultation on Transitional and Enduring Regulatory Changes |
| Due Date: | 29 September 2023 |
| DCC Contact | [consultations@smartdcc.co.uk](mailto:consultations@smartdcc.co.uk)<mailto:enrolment.adoption@smartdcc.co.uk> |
| Respondent: | [Respondent to add] |
| Contact Name: | [Respondent to add] |
| Contact Email: | [Respondent to add] |
| Contact Telephone Number: | [Respondent to add] |

# Responses to the consultation questions on CH&N Regulatory Changes

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Numb | Question | Response |
| CH&N Q1 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal to amend the CHHSM and CHIMSM to distinguish between 2/3G WAN Variants and 4G WAN Variant, and that at this stage DCC should not amend the SEC to compel the installation of a certain type of WAN variant? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| CH&N Q2 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal to amend DUIS to provide for the changes necessitated by the incorporation of 4G Comms Hubs and to do so as part of the June 2024 SEC release? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| CH&N Q3 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal to amend the CHIMSM to reflect the new logistics arrangements? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| CH&N Q4 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal that there should be no changes to the ETAD? Do you have any detailed comments on this proposal? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| CH&N Q5 | Do you have any comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| CH&N Q6 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal for the inclusion of a temporary coverage checker? Do you have any detailed comments on the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| CH&N Q7 | Do you have any detailed comments on the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| CH&N Q8 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal that DCC should produce an IPV Approach Document and that the IPVAD should be reviewed and approved by the SEC Panel or their nominated subcommittee, with disagreements over this determined by the Secretary of State? Do you have any detailed comments on the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| CH&N Q9 | Do you agree the SEC Panel or their nominated subcommittee should decide whether the IPV entry criteria have been met, with disagreements over this determined by the Secretary of State? Do you have any detailed comments on the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| CH&N Q10 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal that DCC should produce an IPV completion document and that the SEC Panel or their nominated subcommittee should decide whether IPV has been completed based on the exit criteria set out in the IPV Approach Document, with disagreements over this determined by the Secretary of State? Do you have any detailed comments on the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| CH&N Q11 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposals relating to the ordering of Comms Hubs for IPV? Do you have any detailed comments on the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| CH&N Q12 | Do you think there are any other changes that DCC should consider that could have an impact of the SEC? | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| CH&N Q13 | Do you agree with the proposed 24 November 2023 (or, if necessary, as soon as reasonably practicable within one month thereafter) for the designation of the NETMAD using the draft notification at Attachment 1? | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| CH&N Q14 | Do you agree with the proposed re-designation of 27 June 2024 (or, if necessary, as soon as reasonably practicable within one month thereafter) for the updates to DUIS for 4G using the draft notification at Attachment 2? | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |