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|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Topic: | SMETS1 Supporting Requirements |
| Due Date: | 07/03/2025 |
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| Respondent: | [Respondent to add] |
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# Responses to the consultation questions on SMETS1 Supporting Requirements

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Numb | Question | Response |
| Q1 | Do you agree with the proposal to correct the formatting error in the S1SR, including amendments to the following clauses which restores overall alignment of the S1SR clauses to the DMVESM? Please give a rationale for your response. | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Q2 | Do you agree with the proposed amendments to the S1SR as set out above, which describe, as yet undocumented, behaviour of SMETS1 Devices highlighted through DIRF and that behaviour which will be implemented to support Market-wide Half Hourly Settlement? Please give a rationale for your response. If you agree with some, but not all the proposed amendments please provide details. | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Q3 | Do you agree that the proposed amendments the S1SR definitions will group L&G Devices in the FOC cohort into their own separate category? | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Q4 | What were the impacts on your organisation and your customers during the October 2023 Incident or what could be the impacts on your organisation if a similar incident were to occur? | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Q5 | Do you agree with the proposal to amend FOC ESME configuration to stop HAN Alerts not mapped to GBCS or utilised by the S1SP being sent across the Network? Do you consider that the proposed S1SR amendments sufficiently define the Alerts which should be removed from network traffic and require the appropriate configuration? Please give a rationale for your response. | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Q6 | With reference to each SRV (4.8.1, 4.8.2 and 4.8.3) has your organisation identified this issue and how wide ranging do you understand the issue to be? Has this issue caused any negative impact to you or your customers and what has that impact been? Do you consider that the issue will present any additional problems in the future, particularly when considering half hour settlement? Without resolution could your organisation manage the data issue and what would the impacts of that be on consumers? | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Q7 | Do you agree with the proposed amendment to the S1SR to describe the required Device configuration for FOC ESME Devices that would remove the error related to half hour data? Please give a rationale for your response. | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Q8 | For each proposed change (HAN Alerts and half hourly data errors), do you agree with the proposal that would require DCC to update FOC meter configuration for these proposed changes? Do you consider the proposed wording of clause 13.3 sufficient to require the configuration change? Please give a rationale for your response. | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Q9 | Do you agree with the proposed re designation date of 4th April 2025 (or, if necessary, as soon as reasonably practicable within one month thereafter) for the updates to the S1SR? | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |