# Summary Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Topic: | SMETS1 Consultation – Closure 2 |
| Due Date: | 12:00 on 27 January 2023 |
| DCC Contact | [consultations@smartdcc.co.uk](mailto:consultations@smartdcc.co.uk) |
| Respondent Entity: | [Respondent to add] |
| Contact Name: | [Respondent to add] |
| Contact Email: | [Respondent to add] |
| Contact Telephone Number: | [Respondent to add] |

# Responses to the consultation questions on SMETS1 Consultation – Closure 2

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Reference | Question | Response |
| Closure 2 Q1 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal to amend the TMAD (changes to Clause 4.26A and 4.26B) to extend partial Migration for GSME firmware / configuration failure to the IOC, MOC (Secure), and FOC cohorts? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here and the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Closure 2 Q2 | Do you support the proposal to de-register the SMETS1 PPMID for SMETS1 Installations for the FOC BG cohort containing Dormant Meters to provide for alignment to an entry on the EPCL? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting in Clauses 4.26D and 4.26E of the TMAD and the amendment to Clause 18.12? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here and the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Closure 2 Q3 | Do you support the principle of amending Clause 7.2 of the TMAD to allow early closure of the IOC and FOC (NP) cohorts? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting in the TMAD? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here and the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Closure 2 Q4 | Do you support the principle of amending the Excluded Category titled ‘Excluded Category - Five Retries Attempting Migration’ (Clause 18.8 of the TMAD) to be applicable to the FOC cohort? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting in the TMAD? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here and the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Closure 2 Q5 | Do you support the principle of amending the Excluded Category titled ‘Excluded Category - Five Retries Attempting Migration’ (Clause 18.8 of the TMAD) to remove some failures related to system issues? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting in the TMAD? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here and the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Closure 2 Q6 | Do you support the principle of disregarding the incorrectly provided certificate where it has prevented successful Migration at least five times as per the new Clause 4.32A in the TMAD? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting in the TMAD? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here and the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Closure 2 Q7 | Do you support the principle of amending the TMAD to amend Clauses 18.2A and 18.14 to exclude SMETS1 Installations that were previously ‘split Active/Active’ from the scope of the Excluded Category titled ‘Excluded Category - Dormant not due to Change of Supplier’? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting in the TMAD? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here and the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Closure 2 Q8 | Do you support the principle of amending the TMAD to include Clauses 18.17 to exclude firmware upgrade and configuration failures for the MOC (Secure) and FOC cohorts where firmware upgrade and / or the steps in Clause 4.26 (to reconfigure Dormant Meters and associated Devices) by the relevant SMETS1 SMSO have persistently failed? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting in the TMAD? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here and the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Closure 2 Q9 | For the IOC cohort, do you agree with DCC’s draft RP Decommissioning Timetable document that sets out the proposed date for the Requesting Party for the IOC cohort to be decommissioned to be Sunday 26 March 2023 (or alternatively Sunday 30 April 2023 or Sunday 28 May 2023)? For the IOC cohort, do you have any detailed comments on the draft RP Decommissioning Timetable document and supporting evidence presented in this consultation document? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here and the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Closure 2 Q10 | For the FOC (NP) cohort, do you agree with DCC’s draft RP Decommissioning Timetable document that sets out the proposed date for the Requesting Party for the FOC (NP) cohort to be decommissioned to be Sunday 26 March 2023 (or any following Sunday up to and including Sunday 28 May 2023)? For the FOC (NP) cohort, do you have any detailed comments on the draft RP Decommissioning Timetable document and supporting evidence presented in this consultation document? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here and the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Closure 2 Q11 | Do you agree with the proposed re-designation date of 21 February 2023 (or within one month thereafter) for the changes to the TMAD within this consultation (including the correction to the definition of ‘Recently Dormant SMETS1 Installation’)? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here and the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |